

Place of Residence at assessment, one month and three months



Level of Care Changes







Reasons for change

Had expected outcome scores, chose to stay at home at time of assessment, but later decided to move to residential care

Hospitalisation and decline in baseline function necessitating reassessment

Improvement in overall function and client decision to return home from residential care






Point of note...

None of the clients indicated as needing rest home level care were referred back for increase to hospital level care within the three month period






Triggers







Behavioural, Clinical and other issues indicated by MAPLe





69yr old lady

Metastatic breast cancer

Not an exception by outcome scores however, support needs could have been met at home.

Except for home being beyond repair for her to be able to safely return home

Body Mass Index 0 Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6) 1
Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)
Communication Scale (0-8) 0
Depression Rating Scale (0-14) 0
IADL Capacity (0-48)
IADL Performance (0-48) 34
Pain Scale (0-4)
CHESS (0-5) 2
MAPLE Score (1-5) 4
Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12) 0
Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8) 0
ADL Short Form (0-16) 2
ADL Long Form (0-28) 7





90yr old lady with metastatic cancer

ADL Long form and CPS suggest rest home level care, decision made for hospital level care given the combination of cognition and ADL needs, quickly deteriorating medical condition.

Passed away within one month from assessment

ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	2
Body Mass Index	0
Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	3
Communication Scale (0-8)	1
Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	0
IADL Capacity (0-48)	42
IADL Performance (0-48)	42
Pain Scale (0-4)	2
CHESS (0-5)	1
MAPLE Score (1-5)	5
Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0
Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	1
ADL Short Form (0-16)	6
ADL Long Form (0-28)	12





65yr old gentleman with paranoid syndrome and persecutory phenomena secondary to Parkinsons and medications.

Persistent anxiety that he would harm his wife or himself
Captured in Depression Rating Scale

	ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	0	
	Body Mass Index	0	
	Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	1	
	Communication Scale (0-8)	1	
	Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	4	
	IADL Capacity (0-48)	27	
	IADL Performance (0-48)	27	
	Pain Scale (0-4)	0	
	CHESS (0-5)	2	
	MAPLE Score (1-5)	3	
	Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0	00
n	Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	1	
	ADL Short Form (0-16)	0	e V
	ADL Long Form (0-28)	0	



79yr old lady with cognitive decline, anxiety and alcohol abuse. Lack of insight into her own needs causing self neglect, but also neglect of her husband with whom she lived and required significant care.

ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	3
Body Mass Index	20
Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	2
Communication Scale (0-8)	0
Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	0
IADL Capacity (0-48)	26
IADL Performance (0-48)	9
Pain Scale (0-4)	2
CHESS (0-5)	2
MAPLE Score (1-5)	4
Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0
Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	1
ADL Short Form (0-16)	4
ADL Long Form (0-28)	4





72yr old lady post fractured neck of femur Fluctuating level of assistance and at times requiring 2 persons. Pain an issue

	ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	2	
	Body Mass Index	0	
	Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	1	
	Communication Scale (0-8)	1	
	Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	1	
	IADL Capacity (0-48)	40	
	IADL Performance (0-48)	40	
	Pain Scale (0-4)	3	
	CHESS (0-5)	1	
	MAPLE Score (1-5)	4	
n	Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0	70
	Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	2	13
	ADL Short Form (0-16)	5	317
	ADL Long Form (0-28)	12	





72yr old gentleman with metastatic cancer, rheumatoid arthritis, anxiety, and depression.

Cognitive and ADL outcomes scores suggest rest home level, however end stage disease and paranoia (indicated by CHESS and DRS) also taken into consideration and therefore decision made for hospital level care

	ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	3		
	Body Mass Index	0		
	Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	3		
	Communication Scale (0-8)	2		
	Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	2		
	IADL Capacity (0-48)	42		
	IADL Performance (0-48)	42		
	Pain Scale (0-4)	2		
	CHESS (0-5)	4		
	MAPLE Score (1-5)	5		
	Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0		
Care	Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	3	(55)	WAIRARAPA DHB
Coordination	ADL Short Form (0-16)	6		FOCUS
	ADL Long Form (0-28)	10		Nga Kaupapa Hauā

86yr old lady with diagnosis of anxiety

Moved in with her daughter from out of the area who had been advised that she already met residential care criteria.

	Dec 14	Mar 14
ADL Hierarchy Scale (0-6)	0	0
Body Mass Index	0	22
Cognitive Performance Scale (0-6)	2	2
Communication Scale (0-8)	0	0
Depression Rating Scale (0-14)	0	2
IADL Capacity (0-48)	1	13
IADL Performance (0-48)	1	24
Pain Scale (0-4)	0	2
CHESS (0-5)	1	0
MAPLE Score (1-5)	4	4
Aggressive Behaviour Scale (0-12)	0	0
Pressure Ulcer Risk (0-8)	0	1
ADL Short Form (0-16)	0	0
ADL Long Form (0-28)	0	0





Thoughts on strengthening the tool

Perhaps the MAPLe would be better utilised as the vulnerability and risk indicator as all encompassing — however rather than assign a MAPLe value to a particular level perhaps a score of 3+ for any level of care.

Behavioural considerations: Aggressive Behaviour Scale

Depressive/Anxiety considerations: Depression Rating Scale

Clinical considerations: CHESS score

Pain Scale

Pressure Ulcer Risk



Closing Remarks





