

# Approval for Entry into Community Residential Services within Aged Care Facilities for Younger People with Lifelong Disabilities

MANATU HAUORA						
1. NASC INFORMATION				1		
NASC requesting approv	val:	Manager/T Name:		der		
Phone/Ema	ail:					
Service Coordinator nan	ne:		Date:			
2. CLIENTS DETAILS						
Client Nan	ne:					
NHI Numb	er:		Date of Bir	ate of Birth:		
Disabil	ity: Choose a	Choose an item.				
	Choose a	n item.	N. 19			
	Choose a	n item.				
	Choose a	Choose an item.				
Diagnos	sis:					
Current living situation	on: Alone	☐ With others ☐	With Full Ti	ime Carer		
NASC confirm person is eligible residential suppo						
Community Residential Services; give outcome of other funding options considered including Age Related services:						
Current Package SPA Le	evel: Confirm as	Confirm as:  HIGH  V.HIGH				
DSS Support Alloca	tion:	n:				
Is the person on a waitlist t	for: Commu	: Community Residential Service Other				
	Equipment	or Housing Modific	ations 🗌			
3. REASON WHY PERSON CANNOT	BE SUPPORTE	D IN THE COMMU	NITY	<u>.</u>		
Functional Needs:	Other impacting		factors:			
Choose an item.		Choose an item.				
Choose an item.		Choose an item.				
Choose an item.		Choose an ite	thoose an item.			
4. WHY AGED RESIDENTIAL CARE?	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				·	
Are there under 65 residential services avaliable in the region with a vacancy?					No	
If you answered yes select the choice/reas to access aged ca	an item.	Choose an item.				

Other information to be considered:

E LEVEL OF CARE/DRODGER	DROVIDED			
5. LEVEL OF CARE/PROPOSED	Asssistance and supervision of	Self Cares		
Level of residential care:	2 people for most self cares	☐ Sell Cares		
(A person should meet two of the	and/or medically complex			
following criteria to indicate a need	Non weight bearing or two	Mobility		
for hospital level care in an aged	person to assist with mobilising			
residential facility. If person does not	Doubly incontinent and	Continence		
meet two criteria rest home level	requiring assistance			
care is assumed)	Unable to indicate needs or	☐ Sensory/Communication		
	very limited expressive ability Ongoing supervision and	☐ Memory loss/confusion		
☐ Hospital	assistance due to memory loss			
	/confusion/complex behaviour			
	management			
Proposed Provider:				
<u> </u>				
Why Chosen?				
Does the provider currently hold a	☐ Yes ☐ No			
Ministry of Health Contract:		e: new contracts may require approval from MoH, Allow up to 12 weeks)	DHB and	
	Support Need Assessment:	Allow up to 12 weeks)  Date:		
Relevant supporting documentation to support entry into aged care:				
	Other clinical reports or assessr	nents (please list):		
(Attached)		Date:		
		Date:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Date:		
		Date:		
		Date.		
Proposed date for service to commence:				
Review/reassessment date:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
6. NASC Manager / Team Leader	Supporting application			
	Comments:			
NAME:				
Signature:				
Date:				
The completed form to be emailed to	o: Raewyn_Winiata@moh.govt.	nz		
Ministry of Health approval				
Therefore:				
☐ A decision to <b>approve**</b> this application				
A decision to approve ** this application	n has been made for interim admissio	n into facility with the review date of		
☐ A decision to <b>decline</b> this application ha	as been made.			
**If approved a copy of this record must be	placed on the client file in the aged of	are facility.		
On behalf of Disability Support Services, Minis	stry of Health or designated person on	pehalf of Manager,Community Living Team		
Signature:	Date:			
Name:	ne: Designation:			



### **Thresholds for access to Community Residential Support Services**

The Ministry wants to have confidence that only in exceptional circumstances community residential support services are considered and is the option of last resort. The reinforcement of this principle via the redefinition of the threshold for eligibility, continues the Ministry's move towards a needs-and-outcomes-based approach to the provision of disability services and aligns with the accepted findings of the Social Services Select Committee<sup>1</sup>; particularly recommendation 3 of that report.

The Ministry is proposing that <u>only</u> those people who have been assessed as meeting the description Very High (financial representation contained in Table 1&2) on the Support Package Allocation (SPA)<sup>2</sup> and their ability to remain in their current environment is significantly compromised be considered for community residential support services.

Any exception to this will require approval by the Manager, Community Living (CL) team or a designated person on behalf of the Manager, CL. Any such exception will also be peer reviewed by the relevant NASC Contract Relationship Manager.

The current process for people who meet the Very High SPA description and is assessed as requiring community residential support services requires NASC Manager or a designated person approval to enter community residential support services. Evidence must show that all other community based options and services have been exhausted and are no longer able to adequately support the person.

The Ministry is also proposing a number of actions to support this work and to gain a clearer picture of referrals to residential services across NASC's. These include;

- The template currently used for approval to enter aged residential care be adjusted to fit this purpose to ensure an auditable process
- Removal of very low, low, medium and high (>\$900) bands as shown in tables 1 & 2.
- The Contract Relationship Manager Community Living Team will review signed approvals on an agreed basis
- Entries to and exits from Community Residential Support Services and Aged Residential Care be reported on the NASC Quarterly Report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Government Response to Report of the Social Services Select Committee on its Inquiry into the Quality of Care and Services Provision for People with Disabilities

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Support Package Allocation (SPA), Handbook for Ministry of Health Needs Assessment Service Coordination (NASC), May 2010

Table 1

Threshold for access to Community Residential Support Services

# **School Leavers to 65 years**

**Support Package Allocation Tool (SPA Tool)** 

Band	Average (per week)	Maximum (per week)	NASC Management approval required
Very Low	<del>\$10</del>	\$15	>\$15
Low	\$37	\$55	>\$55
Medium	<del>\$150</del>	<del>\$225</del>	>\$225
High	\$600	\$900	>\$900*
Very High	\$1200	\$1500	>\$1500

# No Longer Available

Very Low/Low/Medium/High (A Community Residential package under \$46,800 per annum) - No longer available

High\*/Very High (A Community Residential package over \$46,800 per annum) — internal escalation process to NASC Manager or designated person for approval to enter community residential care (Packages over \$160k per annum require MoH IRP approval)

Exceptions: Application for approval of packages under \$46,800 should be made to the appointed Contract relationship Manager



Table 2

### Plus 65 Years

**Support Package Allocation Tool (SPA tool)** 

Band	Average	Maximum	NASC Management	
	(per week)	(per week)	approval required	
Very Low	<del>\$10</del>	<del>\$15</del>	>\$15	
Low	<del>\$35</del>	<del>\$52</del>	>\$ <u>52</u>	
Medium	<del>\$130</del>	<del>\$195</del>	>\$195	
High	<del>\$575</del>	<del>\$860</del>	>\$860*	
Very High	\$1050	\$1500	>\$1500	

# No Longer Available

Very Low/Low/Medium/High (A Community Residential package under \$44,720 per annum) - No longer available

High\*/Very High (A Community Residential package over \$44,720 per annum) – internal escalation process to NASC Manager or designated person for approval to enter community residential care (Packages over \$160k per annum require MoH IRP approval)

Exceptions: Application for approval of packages under \$44,720 should be made to the appointed Contract relationship Manager

I need to check the Select Committee report on Residential Services when back in the office to include a statement which reflects these services are an option of last resort an DSS are working to ensure future services ensure disabled people have choice, flexibility and control.

